

**DISPOSITION:** October 21, 1952. Since it appeared to the court that the product was of a perishable nature and could not be held without danger of spoilage, the court ordered that the product be delivered forthwith to a Federal institution, for human consumption.

**19917. Adulteration and misbranding of oysters. U. S. v. 616 Cans \* \* \*.**  
(F. D. C. No. 34004. Sample No. 57252-L.)

**LIBEL FILED:** October 9, 1952, Southern District of Ohio.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about October 6, 1952, by Charles Neubert & Co., from Baltimore, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 616 pint cans of oysters at Cincinnati, Ohio.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk or weight and reduce its quality.

Misbranding, Section 403 (g) (1), the product failed to conform to the definition and standard of identity for oysters standards since the oysters were not thoroughly drained.

**DISPOSITION:** October 15, 1952. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a Federal institution, for consumption by the inmates.

**19918. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. 272 Cans \* \* \*.** (F. D. C. No. 34017.  
Sample No. 39419-L.)

**LIBEL FILED:** October 10, 1952, Southern District of Iowa.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about October 8, 1952, by Charles Neubert & Co., from Baltimore, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 272 pint cans of oysters at Ottumwa, Iowa.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk or weight and reduce its quality.

**DISPOSITION:** October 13, 1952. The sole intervener having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be destroyed.

**19919. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. 464 Cans \* \* \*.** (F. D. C. No. 34044.  
Sample No. 4740-L.)

**LIBEL FILED:** October 24, 1952, Southern District of Indiana.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about October 21, 1952, by I. L. Leonard & Co., from Cambridge, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 464 pint cans of oysters at Terre Haute, Ind.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk or weight and reduce its quality.

**DISPOSITION:** November 25, 1952. Default decree of forfeiture and destruction.

**19920. Adulteration and misbranding of oysters. U. S. v. 328 Cans \* \* \*.**  
(F. D. C. No. 34033. Sample No. 57262-L.)

**LIBEL FILED:** October 17, 1952, Eastern District of Missouri.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about October 14, 1952, by George O. Powley & Co., from Wingate, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 328 pint cans of oysters at St. Louis, Mo.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk or weight and reduce its quality.

Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (1), the product failed to bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor.

**DISPOSITION:** November 14, 1952. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a charitable organization for its use and not for sale.

**19921. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. 304 Cans \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34034. Sample No. 57349-L.)**

**LABEL FILED:** October 17, 1952, Southern District of Iowa.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about October 14, 1952, by the Tilghman Packing Co., from Tilghman, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 304 pint cans of oysters at Burlington, Iowa.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Tilghman Brand Oysters."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk or weight and reduce its quality.

**DISPOSITION:** November 18, 1952. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

**19922. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. 288 Cans \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 33971. Sample Nos. 57333-L, 57334-L.)**

**LABEL FILED:** September 29, 1952, Southern District of West Virginia.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about September 23, 1952, by the Oxford Packing Co., from Oxford, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 288 pint cans of oysters at Charleston, W. Va.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added to the product and mixed and packed with it so as to increase its bulk or weight and reduce its quality.

**DISPOSITION:** October 23, 1952. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a charitable institution.

**19923. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. 284 Cans \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 33944. Sample No. 3648-L.)**

**LABEL FILED:** October 23, 1952, Northern District of Illinois.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about October 14, 1952, by White & Nelson, from Cambridge, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 284 pint cans of oysters at Chicago, Ill.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Cap't John's Fresh Oysters."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters; and, Section 402 (b) (4), water had been added