

**2666. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Arthur T. Crouch, Howard M. Orsburn, and Aida Faye Crouch McConnell (A. T. Crouch Creamery Co.). Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$10.** (F. D. C. No. 2974. Sample Nos. 30534-E, 30538-E.)

On June 26, 1941, the United States attorney for the Western District of Arkansas filed an information against Arthur T. Crouch, Howard M. Orsburn, and Aida Faye Crouch McConnell, copartners, trading as A. T. Crouch Creamery Co., Bloomer, Ark., alleging shipment by said defendants on or about July 15, 1940, from the State of Arkansas into the State of Illinois of a quantity of butter which was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Creamery Butter The Peter Fox Sons Co. Distributors Chicago, Ill."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, milk fat, had been in part omitted therefrom, and in that a product which contained less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On January 20, 1942, a plea of nolo contendere having been entered on behalf of the defendants, the court imposed a fine of \$10.

**2667. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Farmers Cooperative Creamery Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50.** (F. D. C. No. 5571. Sample No. 69546-E.)

On February 13, 1942, the United States attorney for the District of South Dakota filed an information against the Farmers Cooperative Creamery Co., a corporation, at Wilmot, S. Dak., alleging shipment on or about June 8, 1941, from the State of South Dakota into the State of New York of a quantity of butter which was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Butter Distributed By J. R. Kramer Inc. 2031 New York, N. Y. 60 Lb."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, milk fat, had been in part omitted therefrom; and in that a product which contained less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On March 28, 1942, a plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$50.

**2668. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Minneola Creamery Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$10.** (F. D. C. No. 5556. Sample No. 46336-E.)

On January 26, 1942, the United States attorney for the District of Minnesota filed an information against the Minneola Creamery Co., a corporation, at Wana-mingo, Minn., alleging shipment on or about June 8, 1941, from the State of Minnesota into the State of New York of a quantity of butter that was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Creamery Butter Distributed by Zimmer & Dunkak, Inc."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, milk fat, had been in part omitted from the article; and in that a substance which contained less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On January 26, 1942, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

**2669. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. LaVerne C. Hansen (Stanchfield Creamery Co.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50.** (F. D. C. No. 5570. Sample Nos. 40735-E, 54118-E.)

On March 3, 1942, the United States attorney for the District of Minnesota filed an information against LaVerne C. Hansen, trading as Stanchfield Creamery Co., Stanchfield, Minn., alleging shipment on or about May 29 and June 5, 1941, from the State of Minnesota into the State of Pennsylvania, of a quantity of butter that was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Aiken-Schwartz Co."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, milk fat, had been in part omitted therefrom; and in that a product which contained less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On March 3, 1942, the defendant having entered a plea of guilty, the court imposed a fine of \$50.

**2670. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 14 Tubs of Butter. Decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond.** (F. D. C. No. 6130. Sample No. 54241-E.)

On October 15, 1941, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania filed a libel against 14 tubs of butter at Philadelphia, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 3, 1941, by Blue Grass Cooperative Creamery from Blue-Grass, Minn.; and charging that it was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter. It was labeled in part: "Butter Distributed by C. G. Heyd & Co. 2008 Phila., Pa."

On October 28, 1941, C. G. Heyd & Co., Philadelphia, Pa., having appeared as claimant, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the