

consisted wholly or in part of a filthy substance. The article was labeled in part: "Dinette Cooked Dried Alaska Peas, net contents 12½ ounces."

On August 27, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

2239. Misbranding of canned dry peas and canned Early June peas. U. S. v. 48 Cases of Canned Dry Peas and 295 Cases of Canned Early June Peas. Decrees of condemnation. Portion of product ordered delivered to a hospital; remainder ordered released under bond to be relabeled. (F. D. C. Nos. 4823, 5809. Sample Nos. 40857-E, 59316-E.)

Both of these products fell below the standard of quality for canned peas because the peas were excessively mealy and more than 25 percent of them were ruptured. The label of the cooked dry peas also failed to bear the name of the optional ingredient, i. e., it failed to state whether the peas were the Early June type or the sweet wrinkled type.

On May 26 and September 20, 1941, the United States attorneys for the Southern District of West Virginia and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania filed libels against 48 cases each containing 24 No. 2 cans of dried peas at Huntington, W. Va., and 295 cases each containing 24 No. 2 cans of Early June peas at Philadelphia, Pa., alleging that they had been shipped on or about March 12 and August 16, 1941, by Phillips Sales Co., Inc., from Cambridge, Md.; and charging that they were misbranded. They were labeled in part: "Olympia Brand specially prepared by soaking selected ripe dried peas Cooked Dry Peas" and "Phillips Delicious Early June Peas."

Both lots were alleged to be misbranded in that they purported to be a food for which a standard of quality had been prescribed by regulations as provided by law, but their quality fell below such standard in that the alcohol-insoluble solids were more than 23.5 percent, and in the case of the canned dried peas the skins of more than 25 percent of the peas were ruptured to a width of ¼ inch or more; and their labels failed to bear in such manner and form as the regulations specify, a statement that they fell below such standard. The canned dried peas were alleged to be misbranded further in that they purported to be a food for which a definition and standard of identity had been prescribed by regulations as provided by law, but their label did not bear the name of the optional pea ingredient present.

On August 25, 1941, no claimant having appeared for the portion of the product seized at Huntington, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered delivered to a nearby hospital. On October 23, 1941, Phillips Sales Co. having appeared as claimant for the portion of the product seized at Philadelphia, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

2240. Adulteration of canned field peas. U. S. v. 38 Cases of Canned Field Peas with Snaps. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 3366. Sample No. 20495-E.)

Examination showed that this product contained insect larvae and eggs.

On November 18, 1940, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of South Carolina filed a libel against 38 cases, each containing 24 No. 2 cans, of field peas with snaps at Columbia, S. C., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about October 3, 1940, by R. O. Kelley Cannery from Mitchell, Ga.; and charging that it was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance. The article was labeled in part: "Kelley's Best * * * Georgia Field Peas with Snaps."

On June 19, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

2241. Misbranding of canned pimientos. U. S. v. 195 Cases of Canned Pimientos. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond to be relabeled. (F. D. C. No. 4386. Sample No. 69009-E.)

Examination showed that this product was not of Fancy quality, as labeled, because the pimientos were ragged, broken, and trimmed, and were not uniform in color.

On April 22, 1941, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York filed a libel against 195 cases, each containing 48 cans, of pimientos at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about November 23, 1940, by Old Mission Packing Corporation, Ltd., from North San Diego, Calif.; and charging that it was misbranded in that the term "Fancy" was false and misleading for the reasons appearing above. The article was labeled in part: (Cans)

"Connoisseur Brand Fancy Pimientos Sweet Red Peppers Contents 15 Oz. Avoir. or 425 Grams."

On July 3, 1941, Old Mission Packing Corporation, Ltd., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

2242. Adulteration of canned pumpkin. U. S. v. 316 Cases of Canned Pumpkin. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 4508. Sample No. 43252-E.)

Examination showed that this product contained a glasslike mineral substance.

On May 7, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of Nebraska filed a libel against 316 cases, each containing 24 No. 2½ cans, of pumpkin at Omaha, Nebr., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 19, 1939, by the Gunter Food Products Co. from Mount Pleasant, Iowa; and charging that it was adulterated. It was labeled in part: "Silver's Pride Brand Fancy Pumpkin Contents 1 Lb. 12 Oz."

The article was alleged to be adulterated (1) in that it contained an added deleterious glasslike mineral substance; (2) in that a glasslike mineral substance had been substituted wholly or in part for pumpkin; (3) in that a glasslike mineral substance had been added thereto or mixed or packed with it so as to reduce its quality; and (4) in that it was unfit for food.

On June 27, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

2243. Misbranding of canned spinach. U. S. v. 14 Cases and 22 Cases of Canned Spinach. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 4525. Sample Nos. 59143-E, 59144-E.)

This product was not Fancy, as labeled, because of the large amount of stems and flower buds: a portion (14 cases) was also somewhat gritty.

On April 30, 1941, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia filed a libel against 36 cases, each containing 24 cans, of spinach at Norfolk, Va., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about November 8, 1940, and March 11, 1941, by the H. J. McGrath Co. from Baltimore, Md.; and charging that it was misbranded in that the term "Fancy" was false and misleading as applied to an article that was not Fancy because of the large amount of stems and flower buds and (14 cases only) that was also somewhat gritty. The article was labeled in part: (Cans) "Net Weight 1 Lb. 11 Oz. [or (cans in 22 cases) "1 Lb. 2 Oz.]" Plee-Zing Fancy Maryland Spinach Packed For Plee-Zing, Inc. Chicago, Ill."

On June 23, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

2244. Misbranding of canned spinach. U. S. v. 10 Cases of Canned Spinach. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 4801. Sample No. 42728-E.)

This product was not of Fancy quality, as labeled, because of the large proportion of stems, including flowering stalks and some flower buds.

On May 20, 1941, the United States attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania filed a libel against 10 cases, each containing 24 cans, of spinach at Warren, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Perfection Canning Co., Inc., from Newark, N. Y., on or about July 25, 1940; and charging that it was misbranded in that the term "Fancy" was false and misleading as applied to an article that was not Fancy because of the large proportion of stems, including flowering stalks and some flower buds. It was labeled in part: (Cans) "Perfection Fancy Spinach Contents 1 Lb. 11 Oz."

On June 13, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

2245. Adulteration of canned turnip greens. U. S. v. 11 Cases and 9 Cases of Canned Turnip Greens. Default decrees of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 5079. Sample No. 49603-E.)

Examination showed that this product contained insects and insect fragments.

On July 3 and August 5, 1941, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana filed libels against 20 cases, each containing 24 No. 2 cans, of turnip greens at New Orleans, La., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about May 12, 1941, by the Deer Island Fish & Oyster Co. from Bayou La Batre, Ala.; and charging that it was adulterated in that it consisted wholly