

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent of milk fat as provided by the act of March 4, 1923.

On October 4, 1933, Gallagher Bros., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the claimant to be reworked under the supervision of this Department upon payment of costs and the execution of a good and sufficient bond, conditioned that it should not be disposed of contrary to the provisions of the Federal Food and Drugs Act and all other laws.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

21628. Adulteration of coconuts. U. S. v. 277 Bags of Coconuts. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. no. 31216. Sample no. 55516-A.)

This case involved a shipment of coconuts that were in large part decomposed.

On October 6, 1933, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 277 bags of coconuts at Philadelphia, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about September 19, 1933, by Antonio Ramirez, from Aguadilla, P.R., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

On October 28 1933, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

21629. Adulteration of apples. U. S. v. 15 Bushels of Apples. Consent decree of destruction. (F. & D. no. 31205. Sample no. 49648-A.)

This case involved a shipment of apples that were found to bear arsenic in an amount that might have rendered them injurious to health.

On September 11 1933, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 15 bushels of apples at Tulsa, Okla., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 6, 1933, by H. H. Hines, Public Market, Tulsa, Okla., from Gentry, Ark., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it contained added arsenic, which might have rendered it deleterious to health.

On October 7, 1933, H. H. Hines, intervener, having admitted the material allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered ordering that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

21630. Misbranding of jelly. U. S. v. 300 Cases of Jelly. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond to be relabeled. (F. & D. no. 31174. Sample no. 47165-A.)

Sample jars of jelly taken from the shipment involved in this case were found to contain less than 10 ounces, the declared weight.

On September 28, 1933, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 300 cases of currant jelly at Somerville, Mass., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 2, 1933, by the Red Wing Co., Inc., from Fredonia, N.Y., and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Jar) "Red Wing Pure Currant Jelly 10 Ozs. Avd. Net."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the statement, "10 Ozs. Avd. Net", was false and misleading and deceived and misled