

On April 23 and April 25, 1930, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

17258. Adulteration of canned tuna fish. U. S. v. 13 Cases of Canned Tuna Fish. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 24424. I. S. No. 018430. S. No. 2682.)

On or about January 10, 1930, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 13 cases of canned tuna fish, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Denver, Colo., consigned by the Van Camp Sea Food Co., East San Pedro, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped from San Pedro, Calif., on or about December 7, 1929, and transported from the State of California into the State of Colorado, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Cans) "Van Camp's Quality Tuna Light Meat Packed By Van Camp Sea Food Co., Inc."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On April 28, 1930, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

17259. Adulteration of canned blueberries. U. S. v. 574 Cases of Canned Blueberries. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 24435. I. S. No. 030776. S. No. 2693.)

On January 13, 1930, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 574 cases of canned blueberries at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Frye Realty Co., from Harrington, Me., September 7, 1929, and transported from the State of Maine into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On May 20, 1930, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

17260. Adulteration of canned tuna fish. U. S. v. 214½ Cases, et al., of Tuna Fish. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 24440. I. S. Nos. 015221, 015222. S. No. 2706.)

On January 13, 1930, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 454½ cases of canned tuna fish, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Baltimore, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Coast Fishing Co., from Wilmington, Calif., on or about October 11, 1929, and transported from the State of California into the State of Maryland, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Cans) "Treasure Brand All Light Meat Tuna * * * Packed by Coast Fishing Company, Wilmington, Calif.," or "Coast Brand Tuna All Light Meat * * * California Tuna Packers, Coast Fishing Co., Wilmington, Calif."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a decomposed animal substance.

On April 28, 1930, the Coast Fishing Co., Wilmington, Calif., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$5,000, conditioned in part that it should not be sold or disposed of until recon-ditioned to conform with the requirements of the Federal food and drugs act, and inspected and approved by this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*