

United States Department of Agriculture

FOOD, DRUG, AND INSECTICIDE ADMINISTRATION

NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FOOD AND DRUGS ACT

[Given pursuant to section 4 of the food and drugs act]

15951-16000

[Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., May 20, 1929]

15951. Adulteration of frozen poultry. U. S. v. 3 Barrels of Frozen Poultry. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.
(F. & D. No. 23003. I. S. No. 03009. S. No. 1089.)

On August 22, 1928, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 3 barrels of frozen poultry, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by Blattner Bros. Co., from Wellsville, Mo., on or about July 24, 1928, and had been transported from the State of Missouri into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance, in that it consisted in part of a portion of an animal unfit for food, and in that it was the product of a diseased animal.

On September 7, 1928, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

15952. Adulteration of frozen poultry U. S. v. 2 Barrels of Frozen Poultry. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.
(F. & D. No. 22983. I. S. No. 02825. S. No. 1052.)

On August 15, 1928, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 2 barrels of frozen poultry, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Armour Creameries Co., from Clinton, Mo., on or about July 24, 1928, and had been transported from the State of Missouri into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of emaciated and tubercular birds, and was therefore unfit for food, and in that it was the product of a diseased animal.

On September 7, 1928, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

15953. Adulteration of frozen chickens. U. S. v. 2 Barrels of Frozen Chickens. Consent decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.
(F. & D. No. 22906. I. S. No. 02812. S. No. 976.)

On or about August 7, 1928, the United States attorney for the District of Connecticut, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and

condemnation of 2 barrels of frozen chickens, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Hartford, Conn., alleging that the article had been shipped by Swift & Co., Rockford, Ill., July 12, 1928, and transported from the State of Illinois into the State of Connecticut, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a portion of an animal unfit for food, in that it was the product of a diseased animal, and in that it consisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On August 13, 1928, Swift & Co., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

15954. Adulteration of dressed poultry. U. S. v. 1 Barrel of Dressed Poultry. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23047. I. S. No. 03021. S. No. 1137.)

On September 4, 1928, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 1 barrel of dressed poultry, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by Vilas & Co., from Storm Lake, Iowa, on or about August 18, 1928, and transported from the State of Iowa into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a portion of an animal unfit for food and in that it was the product of a diseased animal.

On September 20, 1928, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

15955. Adulteration of canned sardines. U. S. v. 26½ Cases of Sardines. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23048. I. S. No. 03161. S. No. 1135.)

On September 1, 1928, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 26½ cases of sardines, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Philadelphia, Pa., consigned by the North Lubec Mfg. & Canning Co., North Lubec, Me., alleging that the article had been shipped from North Lubec, Me., on or about August 7, 1928, and transported from the State of Maine into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a decomposed animal substance.

On October 2, 1928, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

15956. Misbranding of Sal Normel. U. S. v. 4½ Dozen Large Size Bottles, et al., of Sal Normel. Default order of destruction entered. (F. & D. Nos. 22120, 22121. I. S. Nos. 17527-x, 17529-x. S. No. 167.)

On October 28, 1927, the United States attorney for the Southern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel, and on May 21, 1928, an amended libel, praying seizure and condemnation of 25½ dozen large size bottles and 1½ dozen small size bottles of Sal Normel, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Los Angeles, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped from the Physio Chemical Laboratories, Salt Lake City, Utah, in various consignments, on or about June 20, July 26, and September 27, 1927, respectively, and had been transported from the State of Utah into the State of California, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.