

or about November 17, 1927, and transported from the State of Michigan into the State of New Jersey, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Pure Candegs Frozen * * * Packed Exclusively by Detroit Butter & Egg Co., Incorporated, Detroit, Michigan."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

On June 18, 1928, the Detroit Butter & Egg Co., Detroit, Mich., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, conditioned in part that the cans containing good eggs be separated from those containing bad eggs and the latter destroyed or denatured.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

15788. Adulteration of canned sweet potatoes. U. S. v. 615 Cases of Canned Sweet Potatoes. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture entered. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 22333. I. S. No. 20364-x. S. No. 394.)

On January 20, 1928, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 615 cases of canned sweet potatoes, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Baltimore, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped by the John W. Taylor Packing Co., from Hallwood, Va., September 22, 1926, and transported from the State of Virginia into the State of Maryland, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Hallwood Brand Sweet Potatoes Packed by John W. Taylor Packing Co., Hallwood, Va."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On January 30, 1928, the John W. Taylor Packing Co., Hallwood, Va., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$2,500, conditioned in part that it should not be sold or disposed of until salvaged so as to conform to the requirements of the Federal food and drugs act.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

15789. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 8 Tubs of Butter. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 22875. I. S. No. 20220-x. S. No. 919.)

On June 27, 1928, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 8 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Philadelphia, Pa., consigned by the Washington County Creamery Co., Inc., Abingdon, Va., alleging that the article had been shipped from Abingdon, Va., on or about June 24, 1928, and transported from the State of Virginia into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance containing less than 80 per cent of butterfat had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article and had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce, lower, or injuriously affect its quality or strength, and for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article, butterfat, had been wholly or in part abstracted.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was an imitation of or offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article, and for the further reason that the package bore no statement of the quantity of contents.

On June 28, 1928, C. M. Drake, Philadelphia, Pa., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond