

On March 23, 1927, the claimants having failed to appear, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal, and that the claimants pay all costs.

W. M. JARDINE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

14993. Adulteration and misbranding of vinegar. U. S. v. 100 Barrels of Vinegar. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 16886. I. S. Nos. 5449-v, 5450-v. S. No. C-2927.)

On October 30, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Minnesota, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 100 barrels of vinegar, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Winona, Minn., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Powell Corp., from Canandaigua, N. Y., on or about September 26, 1922, and transported from the State of New York into the State of Minnesota, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Barrel) "Pure Cider Vinegar Made From Apples * * * Made By The Powell Corp. Canandaigua, N. Y."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that evaporated or dried apple products and distilled vinegar had been mixed and packed with and substituted wholly or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement "Pure Cider Vinegar Made From Apples," borne on the label, was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was an imitation of and was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On January 29, 1927, Latsch & Son, Winona, Minn., claimants, having consented to the condemnation and forfeiture of the product, and the court having found that the allegations of the libel were true, a decree was entered, ordering that the said product be released to the claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the filing of an undertaking with sufficient surety, conditioned that it be relabeled under the supervision of this department.

W. M. JARDINE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

14994. Adulteration of oranges. U. S. v. 396 Boxes of Oranges. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 21733. I. S. No. 16407-x. S. No. E-5962.)

On February 25, 1927, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 396 boxes of oranges, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., alleging that the article had been shipped by S. J. Sligh & Co., Orlando, Fla., and transported from the State of Florida into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Examination of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it was composed of frost-damaged fruit.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

On March 23, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

14995. Adulteration of oranges. U. S. v. 480 Bushels of Oranges in Bulk. Product taken down under bond. Bad portion forfeited and destroyed. Remainder released. (F. & D. No. 21746. I. S. No. 7514-x. S. No. E-5835.)

On March 11, 1927, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Georgia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 480 bushels of oranges, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Savannah, Ga., alleging that the article had been shipped by the