

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On July 29, 1925, A. E. Mills & Son, Boston, Mass., having entered an appearance as claimant for the property and having filed a satisfactory bond, in conformity with section 10 of the act, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product might be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13803. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 79 Tubs of Butter. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20321. I. S. No. 3036-x. S. No. E-5372.)

On July 14, 1925, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 79 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., consigned July 6, 1925, alleging that the article had been shipped by the Farmers Cooperative Creamery Association, Castlewood, S. Dak., and transported from the State of South Dakota into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance deficient in butterfat had been mixed and packed with and substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and in that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, butterfat, had been wholly or in part abstracted.

On July 29, 1925, A. E. Mills & Son, Boston, Mass., having entered an appearance as claimant for the property and having filed a satisfactory bond, in conformity with section 10 of the act, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product might be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13804. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 12 Tubs of Butter. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20322. I. S. No. 3023-x. S. No. E-5374.)

On July 14, 1925, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 12 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., consigned July 8, 1925, alleging that the article had been shipped by the Ellendale Creamery Co., Ellendale, N. Dak., and transported from the State of North Dakota into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance deficient in butterfat had been mixed and packed with and substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and in that a valuable constituent, to wit, butterfat, had been wholly or in part abstracted.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On July 29, 1925, the Ellendale Creamery Co., Ellendale, N. Dak., having entered an appearance as claimant for the property and having deposited \$650 in cash, in lieu of bond, conditioned in part that the product not be sold or otherwise disposed of contrary to law, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13805. Adulteration and misbranding of pineapple hearts and chocolate-covered dates. U. S. v. 30 Boxes of Pineapple Hearts and 75 Boxes of Chocolate Covered Dates. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 20163. I. S. Nos. 24219-v, 24220-v. S. No. E-5357.)

On July 2, 1925, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 30 boxes of pineapple hearts and 75 boxes of chocolate-covered dates, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Baltimore, Md., alleging that the articles had been shipped by the Candy Products