

stance, and for the further reason that filthy, decomposed, and putrid salmon had been substituted for normal salmon of good commercial quality.

On May 22, 1925, the Pillar Rock Packing Co., Pillar Rock, Wash., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,800, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the portion fit for human consumption be reconditioned and repacked and the bad portion destroyed.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13574. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 24 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20181. I. S. No. 24894-v. S. No. E-5391.)

On June 25, 1925, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 24 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Schley Creamery Co., Cresco, Iowa, on or about June 13, 1925, and transported from the State of Iowa into the State of New York, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance deficient in butterfat and containing excessive moisture had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce, lower, or injuriously affect its quality or strength and had been substituted in whole or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On July 14, 1925, the Schley Creamery Co., Cresco, Iowa, claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$600, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be reworked so as to contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13575. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 25 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20211. I. S. No. 24938-v. S. No. E-5406.)

On June 30, 1925, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 25 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Farmers Coop. Creamery Co., Chester, Iowa, on or about June 20, 1925, and transported from the State of Iowa into the State of New York, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance deficient in butterfat had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce, lower, or injuriously affect its quality or strength and had been substituted in whole or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On July 11, 1925, the Minnesota Co-operative Dairies Assoc., New York, N. Y., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$750, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be reworked so as to contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*