

court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed under the supervision of this department so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**13544. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 30 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond.**  
(F. & D. No. 20201. I. S. No. 22331-v. S. No. C-4757.)

On June 24, 1925, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 30 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Dell Cooperative Creamery Co., from Westby, Wis., June 20, 1925, and transported from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance, to wit, excessive water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, for the further reason that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and for the further reason that a valuable constituent, to wit, butterfat, had been in part abstracted therefrom.

On June 30, 1925, the Dell Cooperative Creamery Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the adulterated portion be reprocessed under the supervision of this department so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat and not more than 16 per cent of moisture.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**13545. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 60 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond.**  
(F. & D. No. 20208. I. S. No. 22425-v. S. No. C-4765.)

On June 29, 1925, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 60 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by W. C. Krueger, from Bruce, S. D., June 22, 1925, and transported from the State of South Dakota into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance, to wit, excessive water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, for the further reason that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, butterfat, had been in part abstracted therefrom, and for the further reason that it contained less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

On July 3, 1925, W. C. Krueger, Bruce, S. D., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the adulterated portion be reprocessed so as to contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat and not more than 16 per cent of water, and that said reprocessing be done under the supervision of this department.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*