

sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that it had been skimmed.

On July 6, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11254. Adulteration of ham. U. S. v. Aaron Goldstein. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 706-c.)

On July 17, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Aaron Goldstein, Washington, D. C., alleging that on July 5, 1922, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of ham which was decomposed.

It was alleged in the information that the article was decomposed in that it contained maggots.

On July 17, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11255. Adulteration of candy. U. S. v. Phillip Miller. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 707-c.)

On July 25, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Phillip Miller, Washington, D. C., alleging that on July 12, 1922, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of candy which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained worms.

On July 25, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture*

11256. Adulteration of prunes. U. S. v. Isaac Oymes. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 708-c.)

On August 1, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Isaac Oymes, Washington, D. C., alleging that on July 5, 1922, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of prunes which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained worms.

On August 1, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11257. Adulteration of milk. U. S. v. Fannie C. Isenberg. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 709-c.)

On August 2, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Fannie C. Isenberg, Washington, D. C., alleging that on July 12, 1922, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained water.

On August 2, 1922, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by her to insure her appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture,*