

ment by said company, on or about December 3, 1918, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, from the State of Louisiana into the State of South Carolina, of a quantity of rice bran which was adulterated and misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained added ground rice hulls.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, ground rice hulls, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to lower and reduce and injuriously affect its quality and had been substituted in part for bran, which the said article purported to be.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement, to wit, "Bran," borne on the sacks containing the article, regarding the article and the ingredients and substances contained therein, was false and misleading in that it represented that the said article was bran, and for the further reason that the article was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that it was bran, whereas, in truth and in fact, the said article was not bran but was a product containing added ground rice hulls. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was a product containing added ground rice hulls and was prepared in imitation of, and sold under the distinctive name of, another article, to wit, bran; and for the further reason that it was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On December 6, 1921, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$30.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10562. Adulteration and misbranding of rice bran. U. S. * * * v. John T. Gibbons. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$20. (F. & D. No. 12337. I. S. No. 16157-r.)

On July 3, 1920, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against John T. Gibbons, New Orleans, La., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about December 16, 1918, from the State of Louisiana into the State of North Carolina, of a quantity of rice bran which was adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "150 Lbs. Rice Bran J. T. Gibbons, New Orleans, La. * * *"

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed it to contain 14.85 per cent of crude fiber, indicating the presence of approximately 6.5 per cent of added hulls.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, rice hulls, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to lower and reduce and injuriously affect its quality and strength and had been substituted in part for rice bran, which the said article purported to be.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statements, to wit, "Rice Bran" and "Guaranteed Analysis * * * Fibre 12.00%," borne on the tags attached to the sacks containing the article, regarding the article and the ingredients and substances contained therein, were false and misleading in that they represented that the said article was rice bran and that it contained not more than 12 per cent of fiber, and for the further reason that it was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that it was rice bran and that it contained not more than 12 per cent of fiber, whereas, in truth and in fact, it was not rice bran but was a mixture composed in part of rice hulls, and it did contain more than 12 per cent of fiber, to wit, approximately 14.85 per cent of fiber. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was a mixture composed in part of rice hulls, prepared in imitation of, and sold under the distinctive name of, another article, to wit, rice bran.

On December 9, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$20.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10563. Misbranding of Brodie cordial. U. S. * * * v. I. L. Lyons & Co., Ltd., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$20. (F. & D. No. 13232. I. S. No. 6787-r.)

On June 21, 1921, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the