

United States Department of Agriculture.

SERVICE AND REGULATORY ANNOUNCEMENTS.

BUREAU OF CHEMISTRY.

SUPPLEMENT.

N. J. 10551-10600.

[Approved by the Acting Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., October 28, 1922.]

NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FOOD AND DRUGS ACT.

[Given pursuant to section 4 of the Food and Drugs Act.]

10551. Misbranding of Nux-Auro-Papanad. U. S. * * * v. 124 Packages of * * * Nux-Auro-Papanad. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 13568. I. S. Nos. 10065-t, 10329-t. S. No. W-723.)

On August 25, 1920, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 124 packages of Nux-Auro-Papanad, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the American Apothecaries Co., New York, N. Y., on or about June 10, 1920, and transported from the State of New York into the State of California, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted of red sugar-coated pills containing strychnine, salts of zinc, calcium, and lithium, and creosote.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that it was labeled in part on the bottle as follows, "Nux-Auro-Papanad * * * Restorative, Aphrodisiac * * * Indicated in * * * Vaso-Motor-Paresis, Neurasthenia, Melancholia, Malnutrition, General Debility, Sexual Exhaustion * * *," which statements were false and fraudulent, since the said article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the curative and therapeutic effects claimed.

On June 21, 1922, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10552. Adulteration and misbranding of mustard. U. S. * * * v. 68 Jars and 5 Cases * * * and 5 Cases * * * of Canton Brand Mustard. Default decrees of condemnation and forfeiture. Product delivered to charitable institution. (F. & D. Nos. 13997, 13998. I. S. Nos. 8668-t, 8669-t, 8667-t. S. Nos. E-2900, E-2901.)

On or about December 15, 1920, the United States attorney for the Northern District of West Virginia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels for the seizure and condemnation of 68 jars and 10 cases, each containing 6 gallon jugs, of mustard, remaining unsold in the original packages at Wheeling, W. Va., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Canton Canning Co., Canton, Ohio, on or about August 26, September 30, and October 5, 1920, re-