

shipped by A. O. Leonard, New York, N. Y., September 2, 1919, and transported from the State of New York into the State of California, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted of a solution of camphor, oil of eucalyptus, and traces of alkaloids in mineral oil.

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the article was misbranded in violation of section 8, paragraph 3, under drugs, of the Food and Drugs Act, in that the article was labeled in part as follows, (carton) "* * * for relief of Deafness, Head Noises, Discharging, Itching, Scaly-Ears * * * Ear Ache * * * Ear Troubles," (label) "* * * Dry, Itching, Aching and Discharging Ears," (circular) "* * * for relief of Catarrhal Deafness * * * to soften and loosen the mucus * * *," whereas it contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the curative and therapeutic effects claimed.

On March 10, 1920, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S736. Misbranding of cottonseed feed. U. S. * * * v. Atlanta Cotton Oil Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$100 and costs. (F. & D. No. 12349. I. S. No. 10904-r.)

On July 21, 1920, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Georgia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Atlanta Cotton Oil Co., a corporation, Atlanta, Ga., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about November 21, 1918, from the State of Georgia into the State of Kentucky, of a quantity of cottonseed feed which was misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained 32.8 per cent of protein and 16.12 per cent of crude fiber.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that the statements, to wit, "Guaranteed Analysis, Protein 36.00 Per Cent., Fiber 14.00 Per Cent.," borne on the tags attached to the sacks containing the article, regarding it and the ingredients and substances contained therein, were false and misleading in that they represented to the purchasers thereof that the article contained not less than 36 per cent of protein and not more than 14 per cent of fiber, and for the further reason that the article was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchasers into the belief that it contained not less than 36 per cent of protein and not more than 14 per cent of fiber, whereas, in truth and in fact, it contained less protein and more fiber, to wit, 32.8 per cent of protein and 16.12 per cent of fiber.

On October 6, 1920, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$100 and costs.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S737. Misbranding of cottonseed cake. U. S. * * * v. Belleville Cotton Oil Co., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 12353. I. S. No. 5928-r.)

On August 31, 1920, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the