

the Secretary of Agriculture, filed an information in the Police Court of the District aforesaid against Roy B. Snauffer, Washington, D. C., alleging the sale by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on October 28, 1913, at the District aforesaid, of a quantity of so-called butter which was adulterated and misbranded. Adulteration of the product was alleged in the information for the reason that another substance, oleomargarine, had been substituted for butter in whole or in part. Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the product was an imitation of butter and was offered for sale and sold under the distinctive name of another article of food.

On December 15, 1913, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

B. T. GALLOWAY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., *June 8, 1914.*

**3255. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. George Zagors. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$10. (F. & D. No. 204-c.)**

On December 15, 1913, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Health Officer of said District, authorized by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed an information in the Police Court of the District aforesaid against George Zagors, Washington, D. C., alleging the sale by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on October 30, 1913, at the District aforesaid, of a quantity of so-called butter which was adulterated and misbranded. Adulteration of the product was alleged in the information for the reason that another substance, oleomargarine, had been substituted for butter in whole or in part. Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the product was an imitation of butter and was offered for sale and sold under the distinctive name of another article of food.

On December 15, 1913, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

B. T. GALLOWAY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., *June 8, 1914.*

**3256. Adulteration of milk. U. S. v. Roberta L. Lynn. Plea of guilty. Released on personal bond. (F. & D. No. 205-c.)**

On December 22, 1913, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Health Officer of said District, authorized by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Roberta L. Lynn, Washington, D. C., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on November 22, 1913, from the State of Virginia to one John W. Gregg, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated. Adulteration of the product was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, water, had been packed and mixed with it which reduced and lowered its quality.

On December 22, 1913, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court ordered her release on her personal bond.

B. T. GALLOWAY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., *June 8, 1914.*

**3257. Adulteration of cream. U. S. v. J. Hickman Ganley. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$5. (F. & D. No. 206-c.)**

On January 2, 1914, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Health Officer of said district, authorized by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against J. Hickman Ganley, Boyds, Md., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on December 12, 1913,

from the State of Maryland into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of cream which was adulterated. Adulteration of the product was alleged in the information for the reason that a valuable constituent of the article of food, to wit, butter fat, was left out and abstracted in whole and in part.

On January 2, 1914, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$5.

B. T. GALLOWAY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., June 8, 1914.

**3258. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. Hugh Hanger. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$10. (F. & D. No. 207-c.)**

On December 31, 1913, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Health Officer of said District, authorized by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Hugh Hanger, Washington, D. C., alleging the sale by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on November 26, 1913, at the District aforesaid, of a quantity of so-called butter which was adulterated, in that another substance, namely, oleomargarine, had been substituted for butter in whole and in part. Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the product was an imitation of butter and was offered for sale and was sold under the distinctive name of another article of food.

On December 31, 1913, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

B. T. GALLOWAY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., June 8, 1914.

**3259. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. Samuel Augenstein. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$10. (F. & D. No. 208-c.)**

On December 29, 1913, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Health Officer of said District, authorized by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Samuel Augenstein, Washington, D. C., alleging the sale by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on November 15, 1913, at the District aforesaid, of a quantity of so-called butter which was adulterated, in that another substance, namely, oleomargarine, had been substituted for butter in whole and in part. Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the product was an imitation of butter and was offered for sale and was sold under the distinctive name of another article of food.

On December 29, 1913, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

B. T. GALLOWAY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., June 8, 1914.

**3260. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. Charles H. Fred and Bert H. Brockway. Plea of guilty for firm. Fine, \$10. (F. & D. No. 209-c.)**

On January 2, 1914, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Health Officer of said District, authorized by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Charles H. Fred and Bert H. Brockway, Washington, D. C., alleging the sale by said defendants, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on November 21, 1913, at the District aforesaid, of a quantity of so-called butter which was adulterated, in that another substance, namely, oleomargarine, had been substituted for butter in whole and in part. Misbranding