

Thomas (T. Gaillard.)

A PAPER READ BEFORE THE YONKERS MEDICAL ASSOCIATION.

GASTRO-ELYTROTOMY;

A SUBSTITUTE FOR THE CÆSAREAN SECTION.

REPRINTED FROM THE

"American Journal of Obstetrics and Diseases of Women and Children,"

VOL. III., No. 1, MAY, 1870.

BY ✓

T. GAILLARD THOMAS, M.D.,

Honorary Member of the Association.



NEW YORK:

W. A. TOWNSEND & ADAMS, PUBLISHERS.

BONN, GERMANY: Max Cohen & Son. PARIS: Victor Masson et Fils.
LONDON: Trubner & Co.

"THE CREAM OF THE WORLD'S MEDICAL LITERATURE."

Guaranteed Circulation the Largest of its kind in America.

BRAITHWAITE'S RETROSPECT:

A HALF-YEARLY JOURNAL, CONTAINING A RETROSPECTIVE VIEW OF EVERY DISCOVERY AND PRACTICAL IMPROVEMENT IN THE MEDICAL SCIENCES, COLLATED FROM THE LEADING MEDICAL JOURNALS OF THE WORLD.

RE-PUBLISHED EVERY JANUARY AND JULY SINCE 1840.
Part 60, for January, 1870, Now Ready.

This invaluable compendium, which was commenced in 1840, is issued SIMULTANEOUSLY with the London edition, by virtue of an arrangement entered into with its distinguished editor, and appears regularly in JANUARY and JULY of each year.

The peculiar excellence of the "RETROSPECT" consists in the fact that it embodies in a confined space, after careful perusal, the cream of all the Medical periodicals—preserving all the essentially practical articles of discovery and improvement. The great advantage offered to practitioners by this method is the saving them time, labor and money. It constitutes a

CONDENSED REGISTER OF MEDICAL FACTS

and observations for the past year, and presents a complete retrospect of all that is valuable and worth possessing, gleaned from the current Medical Literature of the time, including the transactions of the different Societies and Associations in Europe and America, preserved in as condensed a form as possible, and generally in the words of the respective Authors.

**TO FAMILIES, STUDENTS, AMATEURS,
AND LOVERS OF SCIENCE GENERALLY,**

It is scarcely less valuable than to professional readers. Its contents are presented in a style so simple and untechnical as to be easily comprehended by ordinary readers.

This admirable DIGEST enjoys, throughout the world, a higher fame in its department, and has a more extensive patronage than any other medical journal extant. The terms offered are more liberal than those of any other periodical, as will be seen below. The subscription price is only \$2.50 to regular annual subscribers, who invariably pay in ADVANCE OF PUBLICATION; all Parts after publication, one or more, \$1.50 each.

TERMS OF PUBLICATION.

\$2.50 per annum, invariably in advance of publication; single Parts, \$1.50 each. The back numbers, or complete sets, can be had at the following prices, FREE OF POSTAGE:

60 Parts, bound in 23 vols., sheep, library style, \$100, FREE OF EXPENSE.
Parts I to XII.....\$1.00 each. | Parts XIII to LVIII.....\$1.50 each.
Uniform bound volumes (containing two parts, from July to January), \$4.00 each.

CLUB RATES.

Braithwaite and Physician's Hand-Book.....	\$4.00 and \$4.25
" " Chemical News.....	7.00
" " Epitome.....	12.00
" " American Journal of Obstetrics.....	6.00
" " Lancet.....	7.00
" " New York Medical Journal.....	6.00
Braithwaite, Physician's Hand-Book and Chemical News.....	8.50
" " " " Epitome.....	13.50

The four Journals, Braithwaite's, Chemical News, Journal of Obstetrics, and Medical Record (or any other \$4 Journal in its place), with "Physician's Hand-Book," mailed to one address—\$15.00—will entitle the subscriber to a single copy of "Skey on Hysteria," "Winslow on the Influence of Light," "Marcet on Chronic-Alcoholic Intoxication," "Man: Where, Whence, and Whither?" or "Eczema, and its Allied Affections."

Any of the above works sent, pre-paid, on receipt of annexed prices. In remitting, send P. O. money order, or registered letter.

Send all communications and subscriptions to

W. A. TOWNSEND & ADAMS, Publishers,

434 Broome Street, New York.

GASTRO-ELYTROTOMY;*

A SUBSTITUTE FOR THE CÆSAREAN SECTION.

A PAPER READ BEFORE THE YONKERS MEDICAL
ASSOCIATION.

By T. GAILLARD THOMAS, M.D.,

HONORARY MEMBER OF THE ASSOCIATION.

THE history of the operation of the Cæsarean section extends back into the earliest records of the obstetric art; so far, indeed, that the period of its first adoption cannot be with certainty ascertained. Like all other history which must be sought for in the earliest literature of obsolete civilizations, its infancy is enshrouded in much of that mystery with which the ancients habitually invested rites and customs. Leaving out of consideration all concerning it which is purely traditional, we may accept the facts that it was practised among the Jews, as conclusively shown by Dr.

* Reprinted from the "American Journal of Obstetrics and Diseases of Women and Children." Vol. iii., No. 1, May, 1870.



Mansfield's researches in the Talmud ; that it was a recognized operation during the Greek civilization ; and that during the Roman it received the name under which it is now known to us. Dr. Mansfield proves by quotations from the Nidda, an appendix to the Talmud, and from the Talmud itself, that among the ancient Israelites it was performed upon the living woman. Grecian and Roman records of the operation which are preserved to us, relate only to its performance after the death of the mother and in the interest of the child alone. The first case in which it was resorted to in later times for removal of a child from the body of a living woman, which can be regarded as really authentic, dates back only to about the period of the discovery of this country, 1491.* Subsequent to this time it again became a recognized operation upon living women, and in 1581 Rousset published his celebrated essay upon it.

The ancients operated directly through the abdominal walls, choosing generally, from the location of the liver, the left side, and making straight, slightly oblique, or crescentic incisions outside of the edge of the rectus abdominis muscle. As time passed on, various modifications of these methods were practised. The primary incision was made directly over the linea alba, as is generally done at present ; a transverse incision was made, extending from the rectus abdominis muscle for five inches towards the spinal column, and passing below the third false rib ; an oblique incision was extended from the horizontal ramus of the pubis

* Playfair, *Obstet. Operations.*

of one side through the median line, and terminating at the extremity of the last false rib of the opposite side; and Kilian tells us of the incision of Zang, which extended from the middle of the median line to one and a half inches from the middle of the horizontal ramus of the pubis.

Not only were various methods proposed for opening through the abdominal walls, peritonæum, and uterus; others were practised with the intention of avoiding the section of the two latter of these tissues.

In 1768, Sigault formally proposed the operation of section of the pubic symphysis, for the purpose of giving increased space to the cavity of the true pelvis. At the time when this procedure was proposed Sigault was merely a student of medicine, and the Academy of Surgery to which his memoir was presented almost refused to entertain it. Some of the most eminent members of that body expressed the view that it was the undigested idea of a young and inexperienced mind. But Sigault, nothing daunted, sustained his proposition in an inaugural thesis presented at Angers in 1773, and in 1777, nine years after its conception, absolutely resorted to the operation upon a living woman. His patient recovered after having been delivered of a living child.

And now the tide of opinion turned strongly in favor of the persevering innovator. His name was lauded as that of one who had accomplished a great result for humanity, and the faculty of medicine of Paris had a medal struck off in his honor. In a short time, however, a strong opposition sprang up against

the procedure, and at our day, although a short historical sketch of symphysiotomy is usually given in works on midwifery, no one ever resorts to it at the bedside.

For the same end—that is, to avoid section of the peritonæum and uterus—in 1820 Ritgen of Germany proposed an operation which he styled gastro-elytomy. Unlike the now obsolete operation of Sigault, this procedure is rarely mentioned in works on obstetrics, and with the exception of Kilian, Dewees, who mentions Physick's modification of it, and Velpeau, who quotes Kilian's description, no systematic writer, so far as my knowledge extends, describes it. It is my intention this evening to bring this operation before the notice of the Society, and by this means to ask of the profession whether it has not been too hastily abandoned, and whether a resort to it might not improve the statistics of the operation of gastrotomy applied to the parturient woman.

The operation of Gastro-elytomy, as practised by Ritgen, consisted in the four following steps: First, an incision extending from the spine of the pubis to the anterior superior spinous process of the ileum was made through the abdominal walls. Second, the loosely-fitting peritonæum was lifted by the fingers until the vaginal-uterine junction was reached. Third, this was cut through. Fourth, delivery was accomplished through the opening thus made.

The idea of thus opening the vagina instead of the uterus was not original with Ritgen, for in 1806 Kilian*

* *Op. Geburtshülfe*, vol. ii., p. 715.

tells us that Jörg proposed the same procedure, with the exception of that part of it intended for the avoidance of the peritonæum. It is a curious fact, that the idea seems to have occurred almost simultaneously to two other obstetricians in different countries at the time when Ritgen conceived it. In 1822, our countryman Physick, of Philadelphia, proposed it to his friend Dr. Horner,* and in 1823, the thesis of A. Baudelocque,† of France, appeared upon it.

The dangers of the Cæsarean section, however much we may improve in our knowledge of abdominal surgery, must ever remain so great as to render this, *par excellence*, the capital operation of the lying-in room. That the statistics which have been gathered in evidence of the mortality attending it are entirely invalidated by the fact that it has been too often delayed, in the vain hope that the efforts of nature or some less dangerous operation might bring about a favorable issue, no one can deny. I am perfectly willing to admit that many cases in which craniotomy has been resorted to in the past would have been more appropriately treated by this operation, and I as firmly believe that in the future the Cæsarean section will be more frequently resorted to, and with better results, for the reason that progressive obstetricians appreciate and admit these facts. In pressing the claims of gastro-elytrotomy upon the attention of the profession, then, I do not desire to be understood as inveighing against the Cæ-

* Dewees on Midwifery, p. 507.

† Velpeau: *Traité de l'Art des Accouchements*. Vol. ii., p. 463.

sarean section, but merely suggesting that some of the dangers of that operation may by this one be avoided.

I had for a long time been waiting for an opportunity of essaying the operation of gastro-elytrotomy, and had twice, in company with Dr. H. F. Walker, experimented upon the non-pregnant cadaver before I discovered that the idea was an old one, and that what I supposed had originated with me, had years ago been tested and thrown aside. After I had gained this knowledge, however, I was not the less anxious to make trial of a procedure which appeared to me to promise a great deal, and in the month of February, 1870, an opportunity was presented me, through the kindness of Dr. Cushman, of New York, of trying the experiment upon the cadaver of a pregnant woman.

CASE I.—*Gastro-elytrotomy performed on the Cadaver.*

A young Irish woman, a multipara, aged about 30 years, had, in the latter part of the ninth month of pregnancy, as nearly as could be ascertained, died of uræmic convulsions. Dr. Cushman at once notified me, and about eight hours after death I proceeded to remove the child by gastro-elytrotomy, in presence of Drs. H. B. Sands, James L. Brown, J. B. Reynolds, Cushman, and Morton.

The body being laid upon a table, I passed my hand up the vagina, and in about fifteen minutes dilated the cervix uteri so that my hand could pass in. The membranes were unbroken. Withdrawing the hand from the vagina, I then made an incision with a bis-

toury through the abdominal walls on the right side, extending from the spine of the pubis to the anterior superior spinous process of the ileum, and sweeping upwards directly above the ligament of Poupert. Having cut through the muscles, I rapidly and easily lifted up the peritonæum with my fingers, and soon came in contact with the vagina at its junction with the cervix. Dr. Brown then passed a large steel sound into the vagina, so as to make it rest against the cervix, and by this lifted the vagina into the opening in the abdominal walls. I then cut down upon the sound, enlarged the opening made by my fingers, and the sound was withdrawn. Fixing an obstetric blunt hook in the cervix, it was seized by an assistant, who lifted that part into the iliac fossa, while another depressed the fundus uteri in an opposite direction. Then passing my right hand into the open cervix, I easily caught a foot, turned the child, and delivered.

The operation was performed rapidly and without difficulty or detention. It was the remark of all present, that had the child been alive at the commencement of the operation, no influence was developed during its performance which could have injured it.

CASE II.—*Gastro-elytrotomy performed on a living woman.—A living child delivered.*

Within a month from the time of the experimental operation just recorded, I was called in great haste by Dr. T. C. Finnell to a case which he was attending with Dr. Richardson, under the following circumstances.

The patient, a multipara aged about 40 years, and at the end of the 7th month of utero-gestation, had been suffering from pneumonia for a week or ten days, and was now *in articulo mortis*. Dr. Finnell intimated to me that he could wait only a short time for me, for, as the woman was fast becoming comatose, he deemed it his duty to perform the Cæsarean section in the interest of the child, which might prove viable. I hastened to the patient's house, and found everything in accordance with what has been stated above: the patient, almost entirely pulseless, was cyanosed, breathing with a loud laryngeal rattle, and almost entirely unconscious. A rapid consultation was held between Drs. Finnell, Richardson, Jas. L. Brown, Walker, Lynch, and myself, and it was decided that the child should be at once removed by abdominal section.

The patient being placed upon a table, anæsthesia was produced, so as to quiet her restlessness and jactitation, with a few inhalations of ether. I then passed my hand up the vagina and dilated the cervix slowly and cautiously, so that at a three-quarter distention no injury was done to its tissue. With a bistoury I then cut through the abdominal muscles, the incision being carried from the spine of the pubis to the anterior superior spinous process of the ileum. The lips of the wound were now separated, and by two fingers the peritonæum was lifted with great readiness, so that the vagino-uterine junction was reached. The vagina was now lifted by a steel sound passed within it, and cut, and the opening thus made was enlarged by the fingers. The cervix was then lifted into the right iliac fossa by

the blunt hook, while the fundus was depressed in an opposite direction. I then passed my right hand into the iliac fossa and introduced two fingers into the uterus, while the left hand, placed on the outer surface of the uterus, depressed the pelvic extremity of the foetal ovoid. The knee was readily seized, and delivery easily and rapidly accomplished. The child was born alive, but was a badly-developed, harelipped, and, as I before stated, premature infant. It lived about one hour, during which time the rite of baptism was administered to it. The mother, the wound in whose abdomen was closed by interrupted suture, died about the same time as the child.

In completing the recital of this case, I need hardly point out the fact that the fatal issue for mother and child which occurred should not in the slightest degree be imputed to any inherent imperfection of the operation itself. It was unquestionably due to these two circumstances which characterized this individual case: first, that the woman was moribund when the operation was undertaken; and, second, that the child was premature, undeveloped, and probably depreciated in strength by the toxæmia which had for days affected its mother in consequence of pneumonia. I think that I am correct in saying that all the gentlemen present agree in the belief that nothing connected with its delivery could in any way have injured the child's prospects for life. The liquor amnii was unevacuated up to the moment when version was practised, and not an instant's delay, or the expenditure of any, even the slightest, force was developed as a possible cause of death. The child died, as we so often see pre-

mature children die, of want of cerebro-spinal and ganglionic nerve-power to maintain the functions of life in its new relations.

Before closing this essay, there are several points connected with its subject to which I would direct particular attention, and which I shall state in a series of propositions. In doing this I desire especially to avoid the appearance of indulging in special pleading, urging with the enthusiasm of too warm an advocate, or professing an undue degree of confidence in a procedure about which I know so little, and in the practice of which I have so meagre an experience to offer. It may be that with more experience I myself may cast it aside as my predecessors have done, and that I am now engaging your attention in the consideration of a subject which will not prove worthy of it.

1st Proposition.—The first point which I would submit is this: that the operation has never been fully and fairly tested, and that it has been cast aside without proper investigation.

So far as my researches have enabled me to discover, this operation has attracted the attention of but four obstetricians: Jörg in 1806, Ritgen in 1820, Physick in 1822, and A. Baudelocque in 1823. Kilian, in speaking of Jörg's conception of the operation, says that he merely suggested it; and even if he had performed it, his results would not have been admitted in the present appreciation of the operation, since he did not propose avoiding the peritonæum, a prominent feature of Ritgen's method. The same writer alludes to one operation by Ritgen which ended fatally, while

Velpeau, in 1835, alluding to Ritgen's procedure, says: "However, it exists only in theory, and no one has thus far practised it on the living woman." In speaking of Baudelocque's method, Velpeau says, after alluding to a case in which he (Baudelocque) tried elytrotomy and abandoned it for the Cæsarean section: "A single case, I admit, does not authorize us to draw positive conclusions, but this, the only one demonstrated upon the living woman, gives great force to the theoretical objections already mentioned, against the idea of the author." Dr. Horner, who reports Physick's plan to Dewees, says, in concluding his letter, "I have thought that even a proposition not yet confirmed by actual experience of its success would not be an unacceptable addition," &c.

So far as I have been able to learn, then, this operation has been performed but once before the period at which I repeated it. Ritgen was the operator; Baudelocque, according to Velpeau, merely essayed it, and probably losing confidence in an untried procedure,—for I cannot, with my experience, see how failure in the removal of the child was possible,—he at once resorted to the better known and more legitimate operation of the Cæsarean section.

It is quite possible that I may be mistaken in the belief that but one attempt had been made before mine, for a busy life has prevented my giving as much time as I could wish to searching the literature of the subject, but my impression is that I am correct. If I am so, I need say nothing further in support of my proposition, "that the operation has never been fully

and fairly tested, and that it has been cast aside without proper investigation." This will be readily admitted unless an examination into the dangers attendant upon it proves them to be greater than those resulting from the Cæsarean section. That question will be considered in connection with my second proposition.

2d Proposition.—The dangers of gastro-elytrotomy, although great, are much less grave than those of the Cæsarean section.

The chief dangers of the Cæsarean section for the woman may be thus enumerated:—

- 1st. Peritonitis.
- 2d. Metritis.
- 3d. Hemorrhage.
- 4th. Shock.
- 5th. Incarceration of intestines.
- 6th. Septicæmia.

For the child the dangers, when the operation is not too long delayed, are not great, and may be enumerated as follows:—

- 1st. Apnoea from cutting through placenta.
- 2d. Retention of head clasped by uterine wound.

The operation of gastro-elytrotomy avoids entirely for the woman the 1st, 2d, and 5th of these dangers, and in very great degree diminishes the probability of the 4th and 6th. It may be followed by the 3d accident, and in place of peritonitis may result in cellulitis. As the peritonæum and uterus are not cut, the great risks of peritonitis, metritis, and internal hernia are avoided. The peritoneal cavity being unopened, there

is little danger of that sudden nervous prostration which we call shock ; and as the wound admits of free drainage and thorough irrigation, the probability of the absorption of septic materials is very much lessened.

Thus, upon paper, we can almost make it appear that gastro-elytrotomy is a safe procedure. But this is far from being the case, nor do I desire to make it appear so. All that I am striving to prove is, that it *probably* has fewer and less grave dangers attendant upon it than the Cæsarean section has. What is by comparison free from danger, may be in itself decidedly hazardous.

The great dangers of the operation are unquestionably cellulitis and hemorrhage, while more unlikely ones may appear in the forms of shock and septicæmia.

How great is the danger of hemorrhage ? This question I cannot answer. In the case here reported, not over three or four ounces of blood were lost, but the woman was moribund, and no deduction under these circumstances would be valid. There is a congeries of large tortuous arteries around the vagina which would unquestionably be severed ; but by means of ligatures or the actual cautery, carried by a speculum through the abdominal wound, and the persulphate of iron, carried by the same means to the wound, through the vagina, hemorrhage could probably be controlled.

For the child the dangers of elytrotomy are unquestionably much slighter than those which attend Cæsarean section. From my experience I must say that I regard them as *not so great* as those which attend an ordinary breech delivery. To make this comparison

just, however, I must remind my hearers that I do not regard the foetal dangers from Cæsarean section as great, in so far as concerns the operation itself, and freely admit that the mortality to children thus delivered is due almost entirely to delay in interference.

3d Proposition. — The third and last proposition which I shall make and discuss is this: the operation is exceedingly simple, and may be performed with rapidity and certainty.

To one who has never attempted it, gastro-elytomy may appear a procedure upon which few practitioners would venture. It is, however, almost as simple as the Cæsarean section, which has so often been performed by men who had no surgical acquirements. Let us analyze its steps. Incision through the abdominal muscles is as simple as when performed for Cæsarean section. Lifting of the peritonæum is perfectly easy, and when the vagina is made to protrude into the iliac opening by means of a sound passed into it, there can be no difficulty in cutting down on the point of the sound. After this, delivery by version is not as difficult as when performed per vias naturales.

No parallel should be drawn between the lifting of the peritonæum of the non-pregnant and pregnant subject. In the latter it is ample and movable to a degree which is entirely different from what obtains in the former.

I will trespass only a few minutes longer upon the patience of the Society in detailing the consecutive steps which I should advise, from the experience which I have had in this operation.

1st. The operator should be provided with a pocket-case of instruments, a blunt hook, cautery irons, ether, persulphate of iron, and Barnes' dilators.

2d. The patient, being anæsthetized, should be placed on a firm table, and the os fully dilated by Barnes' dilators.

3d. The abdominal wound should be made, the peritonæum lifted, the vagina opened, and the child delivered, by version if the head present, by extraction if the breech do so.

4th. The iliac fossa should be cleansed by a stream of tepid water, introduced through the abdominal wound and escaping through the vagina ; and if hemorrhage exist, ligatures should be applied, if possible through the abdominal wound, to the bleeding vessels. Should this be impossible, a metallic vaginal speculum should be introduced through the abdominal wound to the bleeding spot, and the actual cautery carefully applied. Should this fail, the abdominal wound being closed by suture, the uterus should be excited to firm contraction, the speculum introduced through the vagina, and a small sponge slightly saturated with solution of persulphate of iron placed in contact with the wound. Should even this fail, I should not hesitate to tampon the vagina, guarding against concealed hemorrhage by keeping the uterus in condition of persistent contraction.

5th. Should no undue hemorrhage occur, I should have the vagina cautiously syringed out with a very weak solution of carbolic acid, once in every twelve hours.



1st. The operator should be provided with a pocket-
 case of instruments, a blunt hook, cavity iron, ether,
 persulfate of iron, and Harnes' dilator.

2d. The patient, being anaesthetized, should be placed
 on a firm table and the os fully dilated by Harnes' dilator.

3d. The abdominal wound should be made, the per-
 itoneum lifted, the vagina opened, and the child deliv-
 ered by version if the head present, by extraction if the

placenta be so.

4th. The linc fossa should be cleared by a stream
 of tepid water introduced through the abdominal
 wound and escaping through the vagina; and if haemor-
 rhage exist ligatures should be applied, if possible
 through the abdominal wound, to the bleeding vessels.

Should this be impossible, a metallic vaginal speculum
 should be introduced through the abdominal wound to
 the bleeding spot, and the actual cautery carefully ap-
 plied: Should this fail, the abdominal wound being

closed by sutures, the uterus should be excited to firm
 contraction, the speculum introduced through the va-
 gina, and a small sponge slightly saturated with solu-
 tion of persulfate of iron placed in contact with the

wound. Should even this fail, I should not hesitate to
 tampon the vagina, guarding against concealed haemor-
 rhage by keeping the uterus in condition of persistent

contraction.

5th. Should an undue haemorrhage occur, I should
 have the vagina cautiously syringed out with a very
 weak solution of carbolic acid, once in every twelve

hours.

THE PHYSICIAN'S HAND BOOK

NEW IMPROVED EDITION FOR 1870.

CONTAINING ALL THE NEW REMEDIAL AGENTS.

BY WILLIAM ELMER, M. D.

Bound in English Morocco, Red Edges, Pocket Book Form. Price \$2.00 and \$1.75

POSTAGE FREE.

THIRTEENTH YEAR OF PUBLICATION.

This NEW EDITION of the "Hand Book" has been completely rewritten and restereotyped throughout. Many valuable improvements and new features have been introduced and corrections made.

IT CONTAINS:

1. A **Classification of Diseases**, with their distinguishing *symptoms*, and a statement of the diseases from which the given one must be diagnosed, the complications and sequels; together with figures referring to some of the most *important remedies* indicated in each disease.
 2. An **Alphabetical List of all Known Remedial Agents**, including many new ones not named in the various *Dispensatories*, together with their *Preparations, Medical Properties, and doses*, revised every year and latest discoveries added.
 3. A **Full Classified List of Poisons**, with their *symptoms, Antidotes, and Treatment*.
 4. **Treatment of Asphyxia.**
 5. **Diagnostic Examination of the Urine.**
 6. **Short Chapter on the Pulse.**
 7. **Abbreviations and Definitions** of the Properties of Remedial Agents, *Medicinal Weights and Measures.*
 8. **Diagnostic Record** for every month in the year.
 9. **Index** to the common names of *Remedial Agents.*
 10. **List of Incompatibles.**
 11. **Examples of Extemporaneous Prescriptions** and Abbreviations used in writing the same, together with the Latin terms and phrases translated into English.
 12. A **Register of Daily Practice for Sixty-four Patients**, and a blank for each for every day in the year; so that an account may be kept, with the greatest facility, of the number of *visits made, of the Medicines delivered, office practice, surgical and obstetrical cases, and the amount charged* for the same.
 13. A **Memorandum of Cases and Treatment** for future reference; thus enabling the Physician to preserve and accumulate the record of his past experience.
- The Book is handsomely bound in morocco, with a tuck, and contains a pocket for loose memoranda, prescription papers, etc.

THE CHEMICAL NEWS & JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL SCIENCE.

[American Edition authorized by Wm. Crookes, F. R. S., Editor and Proprietor.]

TO WHICH IS ADDED AN

AMERICAN SUPPLEMENT, Edited by CHAS. F. CHANDLER, Ph. D.

DEVOTED ESPECIALLY TO AMERICAN INTERESTS.

The Most Popular and Useful Issue of the Foreign Scientific Press.

For more than a quarter of a century this valuable Journal has represented the progress of Chemistry and cognate sciences. It is devoted to **Practical Chemistry** in all its applications to **Pharmacy, Medicine, Manufactures, Photography, and the Arts**; also embracing whatever tends to elucidate new discoveries and advances made in **Physical Science**, comprising **Mineralogy, Metallurgy, Mining, Mechanics, Electricity**, and the collateral branches of Science. Its foremost rank as the leading representative of these interests has been conceded, until it is quoted as the first authority in the scientific world.

The **Chemical News** numbers among its regular contributors every EMINENT CHEMIST and PHYSICIST in EUROPE and AMERICA. The Reports of the LEARNED SOCIETIES of the world have always been a distinguishing feature of this popular Journal.

Among the recently introduced features of THE CHEMICAL NEWS, special attention is directed to the "CONTEMPORARY SCIENTIFIC PRESS," and "CHEMICAL NOTICES FROM FOREIGN SOURCES." The former section purports to give the title of every CHEMICAL PAPER IN THE WORLD, the latter a condensed account of every IMPORTANT PAPER as soon as published.

No interested class can afford to be without this indispensable **Repertory of Practical Science**. The American edition, in form, size, type, and engravings, is a *fac-simile* of the original, but a change is made in the TIME of publication, issuing it in MONTHLY instead of weekly numbers. Each compact quarto volume will have a CAPIOUS INDEX, forming a complete treasury of the development of Scientific Progress throughout the world.

TERMS:

One Year in advance, postage free.....	\$5 00
Single Numbers, in 54 compact pages.....	50
Vols I, II, III, IV, V and VI, from July, 1867, to July, 1870, Bound in Cloth, each 3 50	
Cases for Binding.....	75

W. A. TOWNSEND & ADAMS, Publishers,

434 BROOME STREET, NEW YORK.

THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF OBSTETRICS AND DISEASES OF WOMEN AND CHILDREN

EDITED BY

E. NOEGGERATH, M. D.,

Late Professor of Obstetrics and Diseases of Women and Children in N. Y. Medical College.

B. F. DAWSON, M. D.,

Late Lecturer on Uterine Pathology in the Med. Department of the University of New York.

A. JACOBI, M. D.,

Clinical Professor of Diseases of Children in the College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York.

THE Publishers, desiring to make this truly valuable Journal the representative of the above departments of Medicine, they respectfully ask the support and patronage of the profession throughout the country. Encouraged by the reception which the Journal has already met with from the profession and the medical press, they have determined to spare no expense to make it take foremost rank with the first class publications of medical literature.

Originally containing but 96 pages, the Journal has been enlarged to 190, making 752 in each volume. Extra care has been taken to procure

NEW AND ELEGANT TYPE,

And to secure the most perfect presswork, on the superfine Butler paper, from the celebrated IVANHOE MILLS. The WOOD-CUTS, illustrating the various *instruments, pathological and anatomical specimens and operations*, are of the highest order, being excelled by no other journal.

The Journal is published quarterly, (May, August, November and February), and

ENTERS UPON ITS THIRD YEAR AND THIRD VOLUME

With the issue of MAY, 1870. It is divided into "ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS," "CORRESPONDENCE," "TRANSACTIONS OF THE NEW YORK AND PHILADELPHIA OBSTETRICAL SOCIETIES," "REVIEW OF LITERATURE PERTAINING TO PREGNANCY, LABOR, THE PUERPERAL STATE; DISEASES OF WOMEN; AND DISEASES PECULIAR TO CHILDREN," and a complete Review of the Foreign and Domestic Works of value; Lectures, Clinical Reports, Operations, &c., will occasionally be inserted.

THIS IS THE

ONLY JOURNAL OF ITS KIND IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE,

And the three departments will be equally represented in each number.

Among the contributors of papers in the numbers already issued are—Prof. T. G. THOMAS, College Physicians and Surgeons, N. Y.; Prof. G. T. ELLIOT, Bellevue Hospital Medical College; Prof. W. A. HAMMOND, Bellevue Hospital Medical College; Prof. A. JACOBI, College of Physicians and Surgeons, N. Y.; Prof. FORDYCE BARKER, Bellevue Hospital Medical College; Prof. E. NOEGGERATH, New York Medical College; Prof. BINZ, Germany; Dr. EUSTACE SMITH, Physician to the King of the Belgians; Dr. THOMAS ADDIS EMMET, Surgeon-in-Chief to the New York State Woman's Hospital; Dr. MANDL, Vienna, Germany; Dr. EUSTACE SMITH, London, England.

Articles will also be contributed in the future numbers by the following eminent European Physicians:—Prof. BINZ, of Bonn; Prof. HENOCH, of Berlin; Prof. RITTER VON RITTERSHEIM, Director of Foundling Hospital, Prague; Dr. SQUIRE, London, England; Dr. HOLMES, London, England; Mrs. KASCHEWAROWA, M. D., St. Petersburg; Dr. A. STIFFEN, Director Child's Hospital, Stettin.

TERMS.

One year in advance, postage free.....	\$4.00
Single (quarterly) numbers, each.....	1.25
Specimen numbers.....	75
Vols. 1, 2 and 3, from commencement, May, 1868, 3 years.....	10.00

CLUB RATES.

Journal of Obstetrics and Braithwaite.....	\$6.00
“ “ “ Chemical News.....	8.00
“ “ “ Physician's Hand Book.....	5.50
The 3 Journals and Physician's Hand Book (post paid).....	12.00

W. A. TOWNSEND & ADAMS,

Publishers,

434 Broome Street, New York.