

Milan, 16/12/51

My dear Lederberg,

Your experience of mine as a correspondent will, I hope, help you to understand that if I have not replied earlier to your letters, and ^{to} the sending of your most exciting CSRS paper has not been a consequence of my being dead in the meanwhile, or of my having dropped bacterial genetics. Neither of these things is true, and, on the contrary, K 12 is still consuming most of my time, even if the later discoveries in your laboratory may have made of it a rather obsolete object for research. I have also had, from the editors, a copy of Microbial Genetics, and was glad to see among ^{the} major papers our letter to Nature. I have ~~been~~ doing a great deal of work on the same subject - two papers on it are nearly ready - essentially with a view to confirm ~~the~~ experiments reported in the letter to Nature, and to test ^{the} spontaneous origin of mutations ~~x~~ in the case of chloromycetin resistance by methods other than the fluctuation test - or complementary to it. The result with which I was most pleased was the creation of a second step resistant by recombination, on drug free media, ^{between} ~~at~~ two independent and non-allelic first steps. A puzzling result, on the other hand, was that no two allelic independent first steps were obtained; although ^{the} number of these tested was not great, it seems likely that ^{the number of} genes involved in such resistances is rather high. Also, interactions may be rather complex; however, I have left a detailed analysis of this or similar cases for times when the mating and the genetic system of coli will be more fully elucidated. I have spent a rather long time on this, as I happened to be interested in ~~the~~ biometrical genetics - in spite of its unpopularity between geneticists - since a long time. Similar results were obtained with terramycin resistance; ~~the~~ which could be easily expected especially in view of the fact that chloromycetin ~~and~~ resistant organisms are easily resistant to terramycin, and viceversa.

Since some months I am back to work on maps. Outcrosses of 58-161 and W 677 (or related strains) ~~had~~ to W 826 and W 836 had shown segregations which, although not easily understood, were in favor of a chromosome mutation having been induced either in B-M- or T-L-B₁*. By the way, although ~~x~~ I have often been using, after your paper, the B-M- designation, I have never found a trace of the biotinless gene; it must have back mutated early in my strains.

Out of the many methods which I have tried to obtain strain

* more probably in the letter

s which one might confidently expect to find homozygous, on crossing, for chromosome ^{arrangements} mutations, was the following: an M- strain like a multiply deficient 58-161 (I am actually using a derivative of W 705) was selected for S^r; and on the other hand a prototrophic strain obtained by spontaneous mutation, in spite of the very great difficulty found to get an M+ ~~frxxxxxx~~ mutant. An M-sugar negative S^r was then crossed to an M+sugar ^{posi} ~~xxxxxx~~ ^{itive}, and viceversa, an M- sugar positive S^r was crossed to a M+ sugar negative, on minimal plus streptomycin. The data I have so far are essentially confined to the "unmappable" region i.e. all those genes linked with Mal etc., which would never give a linear map in the standard crosses. All data are in favor of a linear order, but there is one difficulty; in one cross the order is altered in respect to the other cross, in the sense that two genes ^{seem} ~~xxx~~ exchanged. I am now starting again with independent mutants.

When a similar technique was applied to T-L-B₁- derivatives, the surprising fact was found that strains T-L-B₁-S^r and T+L+B₁+ (the latter obtained by back mutation from TLB₁-) would never cross together. Therefore I was led to think that a mating-type-like mechanism might exist in K-12; K-12, 58-161 and most other derivatives being homothallic, while W 677 is heterothallic. Homothallism is restored by recombination. In fact, crossing 58-161 S^r to W 677 on minimal + TLB₁, recombinants of TLB₁-S^r types are secured ~~xxxx~~ which cross regularly with TLB₁+ strains, etc. I am following the details of this inheritance of this now, first data being in favor of cytoplasmic ~~xxxxxx~~ inheritance.

A conclusion not too far from that ^{one given above} concerning mating behaviour of the BM- and TLB₁- strains was reached, in entirely independent way by William Hayes, of Postgraduate Medical School, University of London.

Is there anything new about the cytology of K 12, and especially heterozygotes? We have started having a look at the chromosomes of bacteria here, but find them desperately insignificant.

Your "Microbial Genetics" seems to me excellent, and we shall have it reviewed on our Journal. I hope this letter will reach you in time to bring you best wishes for Xmas and the New Year.

Yours sincerely

P.S. I do not find your name among prospective participants to the IX Congress of Genetics. I hope you will come; I know that also the Microbiology Congress counts on your presence in Italy in 1953.