

# NATIONAL COMMITTEE *against* MENTAL ILLNESS

SUITE 1215 • 1028 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N. W. • WASHINGTON 36, D. C. • 296-4435

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR — MIKE GORMAN

## CO-CHAIRMEN

Mrs. Albert D. Lasker  
Mrs. Florence Mahoney

## SECRETARY

Mrs. Alice Fordyce

## RESEARCH SECRETARY

Jane E. McDonough

## HONORARY CHAIRMEN

Chief Justice Earl Warren  
U. S. Supreme Court  
Hon. Adlai Stevenson  
Hon. Luther W. Youngdahl  
Judge, District of Columbia  
Hon. George C. Wallace  
Governor of Alabama  
Hon. William A. Egan  
Governor of Alaska  
Hon. Paul Fannin  
Governor of Arizona  
Hon. Orval E. Faubus  
Governor of Arkansas  
Hon. Edmund G. Brown  
Governor of California  
Hon. John A. Love  
Governor of Colorado  
Hon. John N. Dempsey  
Governor of Connecticut  
Hon. Elbert N. Carvel  
Governor of Delaware  
Hon. C. Farris Bryant  
Governor of Florida  
Hon. Carl E. Sanders  
Governor of Georgia  
Hon. John A. Burns  
Governor of Hawaii  
Hon. Robert E. Smylie  
Governor of Idaho  
Hon. Otto Kerner  
Governor of Illinois  
Hon. Matthew E. Welsh  
Governor of Indiana  
Hon. Harold E. Hughes  
Governor of Iowa  
Hon. John Anderson, Jr.  
Governor of Kansas  
Hon. Bert T. Combs  
Governor of Kentucky  
Hon. John H. Reed  
Governor of Maine  
Hon. J. Millard Tawes  
Governor of Maryland  
Hon. Endicott Peabody  
Governor of Massachusetts  
Hon. George W. Romney  
Governor of Michigan  
Hon. Karl Rolvaag  
Governor of Minnesota  
Hon. Ross R. Barnett  
Governor of Mississippi  
Hon. John M. Dalton  
Governor of Missouri  
Hon. Tim M. Babcock  
Governor of Montana  
Hon. Frank B. Morrison  
Governor of Nebraska  
Hon. Grant Sawyer  
Governor of Nevada  
Hon. John W. King  
Governor of New Hampshire  
Hon. Richard J. Hughes  
Governor of New Jersey  
Hon. Jack M. Campbell  
Governor of New Mexico  
Hon. Nelson A. Rockefeller  
Governor of New York  
Hon. Terry Sanford  
Governor of North Carolina  
Hon. William L. Guy  
Governor of North Dakota  
Hon. Mark Hatfield  
Governor of Oregon  
Hon. William W. Scranton  
Governor of Pennsylvania  
Hon. John H. Chafee  
Governor of Rhode Island  
Hon. Donald S. Russell  
Governor of South Carolina  
Hon. Archie M. Gubbrud  
Governor of South Dakota  
Hon. Frank G. Clement  
Governor of Tennessee  
Hon. George D. Clyde  
Governor of Utah  
Hon. Philip H. Hoff  
Governor of Vermont  
Hon. Albert D. Rosellini  
Governor of Washington  
Hon. William W. Barron  
Governor of West Virginia  
Hon. John W. Reynolds  
Governor of Wisconsin

September 4, 1964

Mrs. Albert D. Lasker  
c/o Ritz Hotel  
15 Place Vendome  
Paris  
FRANCE

Dear Mary:

We finally won the battle about the cancer contract program and its specific review by the National Cancer Advisory Council. I enclose that section of Mr. Fogarty's remarks on the conference report which indicate his very clear and strong feelings on the subject.

The really important point in the whole business is that the NIH fought this specific language all the way. A brief chronology will point out some of the roadblocks which had to be hurdled:

1. Due to illness, Senator Hill was not at the full Senate Appropriations Committee mark-up. Dr. Endicott had gotten to Mr. Downey so that the language Hill had agreed to on research contracts was "inadvertently" dropped from the Senate report. This caused me considerable difficulty in dealing with Mr. Fogarty, since John kept bringing up the point that the contract language was in the Senate report a year ago but not in it this year.

2. When the House-Senate conferees met on Wednesday, September 2nd, Mr. Fogarty presented strong language on Council review of research contracts for inclusion in the conference report. Mr. Laird immediately objected, pointing out that he had talked to Dr. Endicott and that Endicott had assured him that all contract plans were being reviewed by the Council. Since Senator Hill was not able to be at the conference meeting, Fogarty had no support on the Senate side and he had to drop the language.

3. After the conference meeting, Fogarty was understandably ready to drop the whole matter, but I made one final attempt. I suggested that he request Dr. Shannon to spell out just how these research contracts were being reviewed. Shannon was still on vacation, so Dr. Sessoms provided the information. I enclose a copy of his letter because it really gave me

\* Sen. Hill will be operated today in Birmingham for prostate condition.

## SPONSORING MEMBERS

Spafford Ackerly, M.D.  
Mrs. H. R. Aldredge  
Chester Alter, Ph.D.  
Mrs. Max Ascoli  
George Babbitt, Jr.  
Edward L. Bernays  
Barry Bingham  
William McC. Blair, Jr.  
Henry Bloomgarden  
Karl Bowman, M.D.  
Francis J. Braceland, M.D.  
Henry W. Brosin, M.D.  
Arthur Bunker  
Melvin A. Casberg, M.D.  
Mrs. Bennett Cerf  
Bennett Cerf  
David Crockett  
R. W. Cumley, Ph.D.  
Ralph K. Davies  
Franklin G. Ebaugh, M.D.  
O. Spurgeon English, M.D.  
Jack Ewalt, M.D.  
Emerson Foote  
Mrs. Hunter Galloway  
Edwin Gildea, M.D.  
Margaret C. L. Gildea, M.D.

Mrs. John Gunther  
John Gunther  
Arnold Hanger  
Irving Harris  
F. Homburger, M.D.  
Robert W. Hyde, M.D.  
Mrs. Henry Ittleson, Sr.  
M. Ralph Kaufman, M.D.  
William K. Keller, M.D.  
George Killian  
Mrs. Robert Kintner  
Nathan S. Kline, M.D.  
Mrs. Alexander Knox  
Judge John H. Lamneck  
George M. Leader  
Mrs. Joshua Logan  
Joshua Logan  
Mrs. Leonard Lyons  
Arthur Mag  
Albert Q. Maisel  
Harold Mantell  
Mrs. Edward Marcus  
Ben May  
Mrs. Edward McSweeney  
Edward McSweeney  
Karl A. Menninger, M.D.

William C. Menninger, M.D.  
Lois Mattox Miller  
John A. P. Millet, M.D.  
Robert A. Moore, M.D.  
Mrs. Dorothy Norman  
Douglas Orr, M.D.  
Al Ostrow  
Howard Potter, M.D.  
Bernard J. Reis  
Frederick W. Richmond  
Mrs. Jesse Robison  
Elmo Roper  
Thomas Rosenberg  
Helen Ross  
Leonard Rountree, M.D.  
Mrs. Howard Sachs  
Julius Schreiber, M.D.  
Spyros P. Skouras  
Harry C. Solomon, M.D.  
Benjamin Spock, M.D.  
Mrs. Charles Vidor  
Ozro T. Woods, M.D.  
S. Bernard Wortis, M.D.  
Mrs. F. A. Wright

**Purpose: Through a broad educational campaign, to create public understanding and support of the 10-Point Bill of Rights for the mentally ill adopted by the 1954 National Governor's Conference on Mental Health. To convince the American people to actively support federal, state and local preventive mental health programs emphasizing research, training and expanded clinic and community services designed to treat and cure mental illness in its early stages.**

September 4, 1964

page two

the opening I needed. On page two it claimed that program plans for research contracts were reviewed by the Council, but it made no claim as to individual contract proposals. Fogarty and I now agreed that we had some ammunition to take to Mr. Laird.

4. Thursday, September 3rd, was an unbelievable day of marching up the Hill and down again, until the language was finally agreed upon. On the basis of the Sessoms letter, I drew up some revised cancer contract language for the conference report. The most important part of the language was the last sentence which requires the National Cancer Institute to make a report on the review of research contracts after each meeting of the Council during fiscal 1965. Fogarty thought this was a little strong, but I argued that it was the essence of the proposal. Fogarty then called Bob Moyer in and he objected to the last sentence. More argument and then Moyer suggested that Dr. Shannon, who had come back to town, be checked on the whole matter. Shannon had been trying to get Fogarty all day and it turned out that this was the matter he wanted to discuss with him. Fogarty then talked to Shannon on the phone and Shannon argued vehemently against the research contract language we proposed. He contended that the law we cited covered only research grants and that the legal counsel of HEW had given his opinion that research contracts would not have to follow the same procedure. Fogarty wasn't impressed with the argument, but we had one more hurdle -- Mr. Laird.


5. Mr. Fogarty invited Mr. Laird over to his office for a drink about 6 p.m. After some pleasant, irrelevant conversation, he casually handed Laird a copy of the revised research contract language. Mr. Laird said he could not buy it, and he referred to the positions of both Drs. Endicott and Shannon on the matter. Fogarty then began to work him over very skillfully, while supplying him with appropriate alcoholic refreshments. Finally, a little after 8 p.m., Laird said he had to go home and, weary of the whole argument, he said it was all right for Mr. Fogarty to include the suggested research contract language.

I review this chronology in detail for only one reason -- it is an extraordinarily vivid illustration of the kind of battle we have to conduct to get the NIH to merely do what the Congress wants it to do. The arrogance of Endicott and Shannon is truly amazing in this little episode, and that very arrogance finally turned Mr. Fogarty against them. This is one positive dividend of the 48 hours of agony and suspense -- Mr. Fogarty will now take a much harder look at the way Congressional directives are implemented.

It really all adds up to what we have been saying for a long time -- we need new leadership topside at HEW which will see ~~that will see~~ that the NIH carries out the directives of the Executive and Legislative branches.

One final note: Dr. Farber and you now have, in this research contract language, a real club over Dr. Endicott's head. I suggest that you use it freely and with great abandon.

Cordially,



Mike Gorman

Encls.